



CITY OF PINOLE

CITY HALL

2131 Pear Street
Pinole, CA 94564

Phone: (510) 724-9000
FAX: (510) 724-9826

September 16, 2014

Bruce H. Wolfe, Executive Officer
California Regional Water Quality Control Board
San Francisco Bay Region
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400
Oakland, CA 94612

Ms. Pamela Creedon, Executive Officer
California Regional Water Quality Control Board
Central Valley Region
11020 Sun Center Drive, #200
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6114

Dear Mr. Wolfe and Ms. Creedon:

Enclosed is the 2012 - 2013 Annual Report for the City of Pinole, which is required by and in accordance with Provision C.16 in National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit Number CAS612008 issued by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board and/or by Provision C.13 in NPDES Permit Number CA0083313 issued by the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Sincerely,

Belinda B. Espinosa
Belinda B. Espinosa
City Manager

ATTACHMENT B

Table of Contents

Section	Page
Section 1 – Permittee Information	1-1
Section 2 – Provision C.2 Municipal Operations	2-1
Section 3 – Provision C.3 New Development and Redevelopment	3-1
Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls	4-1
Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination	5-1
Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls	6-1
Section 7 – Provision C.7 Public Information and Outreach	7-1
Section 8 – Provision C.8 Water Quality Monitoring	8-1
Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls	9-1
Section 10 – Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction	10-1
Section 11 – Provision C.11 Mercury Controls	11-1
Section 12 – Provision C.12 PCBs Controls	12-1
Section 13 – Provision C.13 Copper Controls	13-1
Section 14 – Provision C.14 PBDE, Legacy Pesticides and Selenium Controls	14-1
Section 15 – Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges	15-1

Section 1 – Permittee Information

Background Information					
Permittee Name:	City of Pinole				
Population:	20,000				
NPDES Permit No.:	CAS612008 (San Francisco Bay RWQCB Permit)				
Order Number:	R2-2009-0074 (San Francisco Bay RWQCB Permit)				
Reporting Time Period (month/year):	July 2013 through June 2014				
Name of the Responsible Authority:	Belinda Espinosa			Title:	City Manager
Mailing Address:	2131 Pear Street				
City:	Pinole	Zip Code:	94564	County:	Contra Costa
Telephone Number:	510-724-8933		Fax Number:	510-724-8926	
E-mail Address:	bespinosa@ci.pinole.ca.us				
Name of the Designated Stormwater Management Program Contact (if different from above):	Dean Allison		Title:	Director of Public Services City Engineer	
Department:	Public Services				
Mailing Address:	2131 Pear Street				
City:	Pinole	Zip Code:	94564	County:	Contra Costa
Telephone Number:	510-724-9017		Fax Number:	510-724-8926	
E-mail Address:	DAllison@ci.pinole.ca.us				

Section 2 - Provision C.2 Reporting Municipal Operations

Program Highlights and Evaluation

Highlight/summarize activities for reporting year:

Summary:

The City of Pinole has standard operating procedures that align with stormwater best management practices. Catch basin inspections, cleaning and maintenance benefit the stormwater program as well as prevent flooding issues. Street sweeping and trash collection are also recurring activities that benefit the Program.

Refer to the C.2 Municipal Operations section of the CCCWP's Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report for a description of activities implemented at the countywide and/or regional level.

C.2.a. ► Street and Road Repair and Maintenance

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

Y	Control of debris and waste materials during road and parking lot installation, repaving or repair maintenance activities from polluting stormwater
Y	Control of concrete slurry and wastewater, asphalt, pavement cutting, and other street and road maintenance materials and wastewater from discharging to storm drains from work sites.
Y	Sweeping and/or vacuuming and other dry methods to remove debris, concrete, or sediment residues from work sites upon completion of work.

Comments:

C.2.b. ► Sidewalk/Plaza Maintenance and Pavement Washing

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

NA	Control of wash water from pavement washing, mobile cleaning, pressure wash operations at parking lots, garages, trash areas, gas station fueling areas, and sidewalk and plaza cleaning activities from polluting stormwater
Y	Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs
Comments:	

C.2.c. ► Bridge and Structure Maintenance and Graffiti Removal

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

Y	Control of discharges from bridge and structural maintenance activities directly over water or into storm drains
Y	Control of discharges from graffiti removal activities
Y	Proper disposal for wastes generated from bridge and structure maintenance and graffiti removal activities
Y	Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs for graffiti removal
Y	Employee training on proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.
Y	Contract specifications requiring proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.
Comments: Most of the maintenance work done within the City is contracted out. Staff does small jobs "in-house". Contracts for maintenance with the City all have specifications for implementation of appropriate BMPs.	

C.2.d. ► Stormwater Pump Stations

Does your municipality own stormwater pump stations: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If your answer is **No** then skip to **C.2.e.**

Complete the following table for dry weather DO monitoring and inspection data for pump stations¹ (add more rows for additional pump stations). If a pump station is exempt from DO monitoring, explain why it is exempt.

Pump Station Name and Location	First inspection Dry Weather DO Data		Second inspection Dry Weather DO Data	
	Date	mg/L	Date	mg/L

Summarize corrective actions as needed for DO monitoring at or below 3 mg/L. Attach inspection records of additional DO monitoring for corrective actions:

Summary:

Attachments:

Complete the following table for wet weather inspection data for pump stations (add more rows for additional pump stations):

Pump Station Name and Location	Date (2x/year required)	Presence of Trash (Cubic Yards)	Presence of Odor (Yes or No)	Presence of Color (Yes or No)	Presence of Turbidity (Yes or No)	Presence of Floating Hydrocarbons (Yes or No)

¹ DO monitoring is exempted where all discharge from a pump station remains in a stormwater collection system or infiltrates into a dry creek immediately downstream.

C.2.e. ► Rural Public Works Construction and Maintenance			
Does your municipality own/maintain rural ² roads:		<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
If your answer is No then skip to C.2.f.			
Place a Y in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type NA in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an N in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Control of road-related erosion and sediment transport from road design, construction, maintenance, and repairs in rural areas		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Identification and prioritization of rural road maintenance based on soil erosion potential, slope steepness, and stream habitat resources		
<input type="checkbox"/>	No impact to creek functions including migratory fish passage during construction of roads and culverts		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inspection of rural roads for structural integrity and prevention of impact on water quality		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Maintenance of rural roads adjacent to streams and riparian habitat to reduce erosion, replace damaging shotgun culverts and excessive erosion		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Re-grading of unpaved rural roads to slope outward where consistent with road engineering safety standards, and installation of water bars as appropriate		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inclusion of measures to reduce erosion, provide fish passage, and maintain natural stream geomorphology when replacing culverts or design of new culverts or bridge crossings		
Comments including listing increased maintenance in priority areas:			

² Rural means any watershed or portion thereof that is developed with large lot home-sites, such as one acre or larger, or with primarily agricultural, grazing or open space uses.

C.2.f. ► Corporation Yard BMP Implementation

Place an **X** in the boxes below that apply to your corporations yard(s):

<input type="checkbox"/>	We do not have a corporation yard
<input type="checkbox"/>	Our corporation yard is a filed NOI facility and regulated by the California State Industrial Stormwater NPDES General Permit
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	We have a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for the Corporation Yard(s)

Place an **X** in the boxes below next to implemented SWPPP BMPs to indicate that these BMPs were implemented in applicable instances. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box. If one or more of the BMPs were not adequately implemented during the reporting fiscal year then indicate so and explain in the comments section below:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Control of pollutant discharges to storm drains such as wash waters from cleaning vehicles and equipment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Routine inspection prior to the rainy seasons of corporation yard(s) to ensure non-stormwater discharges have not entered the storm drain system
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Containment of all vehicle and equipment wash areas through plumbing to sanitary or another collection method
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Use of dry cleanup methods when cleaning debris and spills from corporation yard(s) or collection of all wash water and disposing of wash water to sanitary or other location where it does not impact surface or groundwater when wet cleanup methods are used
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Cover and/or berm outdoor storage areas containing waste pollutants

Comments:

The City of Pinole has a General Permit for discharges of Storm Water associated with Industrial Activities, Order No. 97-03-DWQ – WDID# 2071000432. The facility was last inspected on 1/15/2013. At the time of inspection, the site was found to be in compliance.

If you have a corporation yard(s) that is not an NOI facility, complete the following table for inspection results for your corporation yard(s) or attach a summary including the following information:

Corporation Yard Name	Inspection Date (1x/year required)	Inspection Findings/Results	Follow-up Actions
NA	NA	NA	NA

Section 3 - Provision C.3 Reporting New Development and Redevelopment

C.3.b.v.(2)(a) ► Green Streets Status Report

(All projects to be completed by December 1, 2014)

On an annual basis (if applicable), report on the status of any pilot green street projects within your jurisdiction. For each completed project, report the capital costs, operation and maintenance costs, legal and procedural arrangements in place to address operation and maintenance and its associated costs, and the sustainable landscape measures incorporated in the project including, if relevant, the score from the Bay-Friendly Landscape Scorecard.

Summary:

The C.3 New Development and Redevelopment section of the CCCWP's FY 13-14 Annual Report includes a description of activities conducted at the countywide or regional level.

The Green Street Pilot Project Summary Report submitted by BASMAA, on behalf of the MRP permittees, in BASMAA's MRP FY 12-13 Regional Supplement – New Development and Redevelopment includes information on the green street project constructed in our jurisdiction, including capital costs, O&M costs, legal and procedural arrangements to address O&M and its associated costs, and sustainable landscape measures.

C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting

Fill in attached table **C.3.b.v.(1)** or attach your own table including the same information.

C.3.e.v. ► Alternative or In-Lieu Compliance with Provision C.3.c.

(For FY 11-12 Annual Report and each Annual Report thereafter)

Is your agency choosing to require 100% LID treatment onsite for all Regulated Projects and not allow alternative compliance under Provision C.3.e.?

	Yes	X	No
--	-----	---	----

Comments (optional):

C.3.e.vi ► Special Projects Reporting

1. Has your agency received, but not yet granted final discretionary approval of, a development permit application for a project that has been identified as a potential Special Project based on criteria listed in MRP Provision C.3.e.ii(2) for any of the three categories of Special Projects (Categories A, B or C)?		Yes	X	No
2. Has your agency granted final discretionary approval of a project identified as a Special Project in the March 15, 2014 report? If yes, include the project in both the C.3.b.v.(1) Table, and the C.3.e.vi. Table.		Yes	X	No
If you answered "Yes" to either question, 1) Complete Table C.3.e.vi. below. 2) Attach narrative discussion of 100% LID Feasibility or Infeasibility for each project.				

C.3.h.iv. ► Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting

(1) Fill in attached table C.3.h.iv.(1) or attach your own table including the same information.
(2) On an annual basis, provide a discussion of the inspection findings for the year and any common problems encountered with various types of treatment systems and/or HM controls. This discussion should include a general comparison to the inspection findings from the previous year.
Summary: City of Pinole staff performed the O&M inspections as required or was on-site to verify inspections of treatment systems maintained by contract service providers. There were no problems found during the inspections this year. Overall, the findings at the sites with O&M verification requirements have been satisfactory.
(3) On an annual basis, provide a discussion of the effectiveness of the O&M Program and any proposed changes to improve the O&M Program (e.g., changes in prioritization plan or frequency of O&M inspections, other changes to improve effectiveness program).
Summary: The City of Pinole currently has four locations that are online and require O&M inspections. The Chase Bank property was inspected during this reporting year. This inspection represents 25% of the 20% that is required. Chase Bank – The treatment controls at the Chase Bank property are LID controls. A City inspector performed the inspection on 6/13/2013. The devices have been maintained and there was a very small amount of trash in one of the swales.
(4) During the reporting year, did your agency:

• Inspect all newly installed stormwater treatment systems and HM controls within 45 days of installation?	X	Yes		No		Not applicable. No new facilities were installed.
• Inspect at least 20 percent of the total number of installed stormwater treatment systems or HM controls? ³	X	Yes		No		Not applicable. No treatment measures
• Inspect at least 20 percent of the total number of installed vault-based systems?	X	Yes		No		Not applicable. No vault systems.
If you answered "No" to any of the questions above, please explain:						

C.3.i. ► Required Site Design Measures for Small Projects and Detached Single Family Home Projects

On an annual basis, discuss the implementation of the requirements of Provision C.3.i, including ordinance revisions, permit conditions, development of standard specifications and/or guidance materials, and staff training.

Summary:

The Contra Costa Clean Water Program adopted a December 1, 2012 addendum to the Stormwater C.3 Guidebook, 6th Edition. The addendum, "Preparing a Stormwater Control Plan for a Small Land Development Project," includes step-by-step instructions, a project data form, and standard specifications for runoff reduction measures. The City of Pinole's stormwater ordinance requires that applications for development approvals for projects subject to the permit's new development requirements include a Stormwater Control Plan meeting the criteria in the most recent version of the Stormwater C.3 Guidebook.

³ If there is only 1 treatment measure in the jurisdiction, the agency must inspect it every year.

C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 1) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period

Project Name Project No.	Project Location ¹⁰ , Street Address	Name of Developer	Project Phase No. ¹¹	Project Type & Description ¹²	Project Watershed ¹³	Total Site Area (Acres)	Total Area of Land Disturbed (Acres)	Total New Impervious Surface Area (ft ²) ¹⁴	Total Replaced Impervious Surface Area (ft ²) ¹⁵	Total Pre- Project Impervious Surface Area ¹⁶ (ft ²)	Total Post- Project Impervious Surface Area ¹⁷ (ft ²)
Private Projects											
PVHS – Staff Parking Lot	2885 Pinole Valley Rd.	West Contra Costa Unified School District	N/A	New 45 car asphalt paved parking lot	Pinole Creek	1.77	0.40	15,549	468	33,460	49,009
Orchard Supply Hardware – Remodel and ADA upgrade	1440 Fitzgerald Dr.	OPPIDAN Investment Company	N/A	Existing commercial retail building. Remodel interior and partial exterior of building. Replace existing nursery slab and roof. Upgrade pedestrian paths to ADA compliance.	Pinole Creek	4.6	.6	0	13,850	152,900	151,850
Public Projects											
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Comments:											

¹⁰ Include cross streets

¹¹ If a project is being constructed in phases, indicate the phase number and use a separate row entry for each phase. If not, enter "NA".

¹² Project Type is the type of development (i.e., new and/or redevelopment). Example descriptions of development are: 5-story office building, residential with 160 single-family homes with five 4-story buildings to contain 200 condominiums, 100 unit 2-story shopping mall, mixed use retail and residential development (apartments), industrial warehouse.

¹³ State the watershed(s) in which the Regulated Project is located. Downstream watershed(s) may be included, but this is optional.

¹⁴ All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing pervious surface.

¹⁵ All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing impervious surface.

¹⁶ For redevelopment projects, state the pre-project impervious surface area.

¹⁷ For redevelopment projects, state the post-project impervious surface area.

C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period (private projects)

Project Name Project No.	Application Deemed Complete Date ¹⁸	Application Final Approval Date ¹⁹	Source Control Measures ²⁰	Site Design Measures ²¹	Treatment Systems Approved ²²	Type of Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism ²³	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria ²⁴	Alternative Compliance Measures ^{25/26}	Alternative Certification ²⁷	HM Controls ^{28/29}
Private Projects										
PVHS – Staff Parking Lot	5/6/2014	7/7/2015	Inlet Marking, Preserve native vegetation.	Bio-retention swale.	Bio-retention facility	O&M Agreement with the Landowner	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OSH – Remodel and ADA Upgrade	9/26/2013	10/31/2013	Inlet Marking, Preserve native vegetation, Drain outlets to IMPs.	Flow through planters, Bio-retention Area.	Flow through planters, Bio-retention Area.	O&M Agreement with the Landowner	2(c)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Comments:										

¹⁸ For private projects, state project application deemed complete date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

¹⁹ For private projects, state project application final discretionary approval date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

²⁰ List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

²¹ List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc.

²² List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

²³ List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., O&M agreement with private landowner; O&M agreement with homeowners' association; O&M by public entity, etc...) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

²⁴ See Provision C.3.d.i. "Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems" for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

²⁵ For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

²⁶ For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

²⁷ Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

²⁸ If HM control is not required, state why not.

²⁹ If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), bioretention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

C.3.b.v.(1) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period (public projects)										
Project Name Project No.	Approval Date ³⁰	Date Construction Scheduled to Begin	Source Control Measures ³¹	Site Design Measures ³²	Treatment Systems Approved ³³	Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism ³⁴	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria ³⁵	Alternative Compliance Measures ^{36/37}	Alternative Certification ³⁸	HM Controls ^{39/40}
Public Projects										
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Comments:										

³⁰ For public projects, enter the plans and specifications approval date.

³¹ List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

³² List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc.

³³ List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

³⁴ List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., maintenance plan for O&M by public entity, etc...) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

³⁵ See Provision C.3.d.i. "Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems" for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

³⁶ For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

³⁷ For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.v.(1)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

³⁸ Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

³⁹ If HM control is not required, state why not.

⁴⁰ If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), bioretention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

C.3.h.iv. ► Table of Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting

Fill in table below or attach your own table including the same information.									
Name of Facility/Site Inspected	Address of Facility/Site Inspected	Newly Installed? (YES/NO) ⁴¹	Party Responsible ⁴² For Maintenance	Date of Inspection	Type of Inspection ⁴³	Type of Treatment/HM Control(s) Inspected ⁴⁴	Inspection Findings or Results ⁴⁵	Enforcement Action Taken ⁴⁶	Comments/Follow-up
Chase Bank	1541 Fitzgerald Dr.	No	CBRE Company	6/11/2013	Routine	Bio-retention Swales	Proper O&M	None	Small amount of trash in bio-retention area
Pinole Vista Shopping Center	1500 Fitzgerald Dr.	No	ROIC Property Management	10/15/2013	Routine	Inlet trash capture device	Proper O&M	None	Small of trash was collected in the inlets during maintenance.
Pinole Shores Business Park	San Pablo Ave.	No	Kocal Properties	3/26/2014	Routine	Contech Vaults	Proper O&M	None	A small amount of trash was found in both the small and large vaults.
OSH – Remodel and ADA upgrade	1440 Fitzgerald Dr.	Yes	OPPIDAN Investment Company	8/21/2014	Routine	Bio-retention; flow through planters	Proper Installation	None	Installation was completed in accordance with the plans.

⁴¹ Indicate "YES" if the facility was installed within the reporting period, or "NO" if installed during a previous fiscal year.
⁴² State the responsible operator for installed stormwater treatment systems and HM controls.
⁴³ State the type of inspection (e.g., 45-day, routine or scheduled, follow-up, etc.).
⁴⁴ State the type(s) of treatment systems inspected (e.g., bioretention facility, flow-through planter, infiltration basin, etc...) and the type(s) of HM controls inspected, and indicate whether the treatment system is an onsite, joint, or offsite system.
⁴⁵ State the inspection findings or results (e.g., proper installation, improper installation, proper O&M, immediate maintenance needed, etc.).
⁴⁶ State the enforcement action(s) taken, if any.

C.3.e.vi.Special Projects Reporting Table												
Reporting Period – January 1 – June 30, 2013												
Project Name & No.	Permittee	Address	Application Submittal Date ⁴⁷	Status ⁴⁸	Description ⁴⁹	Site Total Acreage	Density DU/Acre	Density FAR	Special Project Category ⁵⁰	LID Treatment Reduction Credit Available ⁵¹	List of LID Stormwater Treatment Systems ⁵²	List of Non-LID Stormwater Treatment Systems ⁵³
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁴⁷ Date that a planning application for the Special Project was submitted.

⁴⁸ Indicate whether final discretionary approval is still pending or has been granted, and provide the date or version of the project plans upon which reporting is based.

⁴⁹ Type of project (commercial, mixed-use, residential), number of floors, number of units, type of parking, and other relevant information.

⁵⁰ For each applicable Special Project Category, list the specific criteria applied to determine applicability. For each non-applicable Special Project Category, indicate n/a.

⁵¹ For each applicable Special Project Category, state the maximum total LID Treatment Reduction Credit available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available.

⁵² : List all LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type, indicate the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area (assume % of total runoff = % of total equivalent impervious area).

⁵³ List all non-LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type of non-LID treatment system, indicate: (1) the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area, and (2) whether the treatment system either meets minimum design criteria published by a government agency or received certification issued by a government agency, and reference the applicable criteria or certification. (Contra Costa's criteria were adopted March 20, 2013.)

Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls

Program Highlights

Provide background information, highlights, trends, etc.

Refer to the C.4. Industrial and Commercial Site Controls section of the CCCWPs FY 13-14 Annual Report for a description of activities of the CCCWP's Municipal Operations Committee and/or the BASMAA Municipal Operations Committee.

C.4.b.i. ► Business Inspection Plan

Do you have a Business Inspection Plan?

☒ X

Yes

☐

No

If No, explain:

C.4.b.iii.(1) ► Potential Facilities List

List below or attach your list of industrial and commercial facilities in your Inspection Plan to inspect that could reasonably be considered to cause or contribute to pollution of stormwater runoff.

Please see attached databases. The City of Pinole has a biennial inspection plan for all of it's potential facilities. Every other year every potential site in the City is inspected. The City has created two databases for this reporting year. (2013-2014) that represents all of the potential facilities. One of the databases has the entire list of sites "Not Inspected"; the other database has all of the completed inspections.

C.4.b.iii.(2) ► Facilities Scheduled for Inspection

List below or attach your list of facilities scheduled for inspection during the current fiscal year.

Please see attached database. All of the Potential Facilities that were scheduled for inspection were inspected and can be found in the attached database.

C.4.c.iii.(1) ► Facility Inspections

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information. Indicate your violation reporting methodology below.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports multiple discrete violations on a site as one violation.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports the total number of discrete violations on each site.

	Number	Percent
Number of businesses inspected	52	
Total number of inspections conducted	53	
Number of violations (excluding verbal warnings)	0	
Sites inspected in violation	8	100
Violations resolved within 10 working days or otherwise deemed resolved in a longer but still timely manner	8	100
Comments: Sites inspected that are recorded as a site in violation receive a follow up inspection within the time frame required by the Enforcement Response Plan.		

C.4.c.iii.(2) ► Frequency and Types/Categories of Violations Observed

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

Type/Category of Violations Observed	Number of Violations
Actual discharge (e.g. active non-stormwater discharge or clear evidence of a recent discharge)	0
Potential discharge and other	8
Comments:	

C.4.c.iii.(2) ► Frequency and Type of Enforcement Conducted

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

	Enforcement Action (as listed in ERP) ⁴⁸	Number of Enforcement Actions Taken	% of Enforcement Actions Taken ⁴⁹
Level 1	Verbal Warning/Warning Notice/Education	8	100
Level 2	Notice of Violation		
Level 3	Formal Enforcement		
Level 4	Legal Action		
Total		8	100

C.4.c.iii.(3) ► Types of Violations Noted by Business Category

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

Business Category ⁵⁰	Number of Actual Discharge Violations	Number of Potential/Other Discharge Violations
Food Service	0	4
Mini-mart	0	0
Multi-unit	0	0
Gas Station	0	0
Grocery Store	0	0
Manufacturing	0	1
Retail	0	0
Vehicle Service	0	1
Hardware	0	0
Body Shop	0	0
Commercial	0	2
Fleet operations	0	0
Dry Cleaner	0	0

⁴⁸ Agencies to list specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

⁴⁹ Percentage calculated as number of each type of enforcement action divided by the total number of enforcement actions.

⁵⁰ List your Program's standard business categories.

Building Supplies	0	0
-------------------	---	---

C.4.c.iii.(4) ► Non-Filers

List below or attach a list of the facilities required to have coverage under the Industrial General Permit but have not filed for coverage:

No industries identified as non-filers during scheduled inspections during this fiscal year.

C.4.d.iii ► Staff Training Summary

Training Name	Training Dates	Topics Covered	No. of Inspectors in Attendance	Percent of Inspectors in Attendance
Commercial/Industrial Stormwater Inspection Training Workshop – Brentwood Community Center	May 8, 2014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> What Constitutes a Stormwater Violation? Overview of Site Visit and Mock Inspection Guided Tour and Mock Inspection of Streets of Brentwood Building a Strong Enforcement Case Mapping the Storm Sewer Systems: An Important Component to Your Municipality's Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination System 	4	100%

Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

Program Highlights

Provide background information, highlights, trends, etc.

Refer to the C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination section of the CCCWP's FY 13-14 Annual Report for description of activities at the countywide or regional level.

C.5.c.iii ► Complaint and Spill Response Phone Number and Spill Contact List

List below or attach your complaint and spill response phone number and spill contact list.

Contact	Description	Phone Number
City of Pinole – Kim Odom	Laboratory Analyst	510-741-3858
City of Pinole – Police Department	Non-emergency Line 24 Hrs.	510-724-8950
Contra Costa Clean Water Program	Spill Reporting Line	1-800-NO DUMPING

C.5.d.iii ► Evaluation of Mobile Business Program

Describe implementation of minimum standards and BMPs for mobile businesses and your enforcement strategy. This may include participation in the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaners regional program or local activities.

Description:

The City of Pinole requires any contract with mobile surface cleaning businesses to provide certification in the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program.

Most Mobile Surface Cleaners operate after hours, which present a challenge for monitoring by City staff.

Refer to the C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination section of the CCCWP's FY 13-14 Annual Report for a description of efforts by the CCCWP's Municipal Operations Committee and the BASMAA Municipal Operations Committee to address mobile businesses.

C.5.e.iii ► Evaluation of Collection System Screening Program

Provide a summary or attach a summary of your collection screening program, a summary of problems found during collection system screening and any changes to the screening program this FY.

Description:

During the course of each year, City staff (Maintenance & Road workers as well as Inspectors) are advised and make it a current practice to be

vigilant and perform continuous visual checks of inlets and other collection system entry points on surface streets as well as other City owned areas.

In addition to this consistent monitoring of collection points, the City annually inspects catch basins, head walls, v-ditches and stream/creek bed structures. During these inspections, City maintenance crews inspect the end of pipe collection system for signs of illegal or illicit discharges into the conveyance system.

In 2013-2014 City staff removed approx. 26 cubic yards of trash from City maintained systems. Our screening program currently implemented is successful in keeping up with current volumes of trash collected. No changes to this program are planned. Collection screening statistics are as follows:

- 1048 Catch Basins inspected
- 438 Catch Basins cleaned
- 26 cubic yards of debris removed

C.5.f.iii.(1), (2), (3) ► Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking

Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking (fill out the following table or include an attachment of the following information)

	Number	Percentage
Discharges reported (C.5.f.iii.(1))	0	
Discharges reaching storm drains and/or receiving waters (C.5.f.iii.(2))	0	
Discharges resolved in a timely manner (C.5.f.iii.(3))		

Comments:

C.5.f.iii.(4) ► Summary of major types of discharges and complaints

Provide a narrative or attach a table and/or graph.

There were no discharges reported or found by City Staff.

Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls

C.6.e.iii.1.a, b, c ► Site/Inspection Totals		
Number of High Priority Sites (sites disturbing < 1 acre of soil requiring storm water runoff quality inspection) (C.6.e.iii.1.a)	Number of sites disturbing ≥ 1 acre of soil (C.6.e.iii.1.b)	Total number of storm water runoff quality inspections conducted (include only High Priority Site and sites disturbing 1 acre or more) (C.6.e.iii.1.c)
# 0	# 0	# 0
Comments:		

C.6.e.iii.1.d ► Construction Activities Storm Water Violations		
BMP Category	Number of Violations ⁵¹ excluding Verbal Warnings	% of Total Violations ⁵²
Erosion Control	0	
Run-on and Run-off Control	0	
Sediment Control	0	
Active Treatment Systems	0	
Good Site Management	0	
Non Stormwater Management	0	
Total⁵³	0	100%

⁵¹ Count one violation in a category for each site and inspection regardless of how many violations/problems occurred in the BMP category. For example, if during one inspection at a site, there are 2 erosion control violations, only 1 violation would be counted for this table.

⁵² Percentage calculated as number of violations in each category divided by total number of violations in all six categories.

⁵³ The total number of violations may count more than one violation per inspection, since some inspections may result in violations in more than one category. For example, during one inspection of a site, there may have been both an erosion control violation and a sediment control violation. For this reason, the total number of violations in this table may not match the total number of enforcement actions reported in Table C6.e.iii.1.e.

C.6.e.iii.1.e ► Construction Related Storm Water Enforcement Actions

	Enforcement Action (as listed in ERP) ⁵⁴	Number Enforcement Actions Issued	% Enforcement Actions Issued ⁵⁵
Level 1 ⁵⁶	Verbal Warning/Warning Notice/Education	0	
Level 2	Notice of Violation	0	
Level 3	Formal Enforcement	0	
Level 4	Legal Action	0	
Total		0	100%

C.6.e.iii.1.f, g ► Illicit Discharges

	Number
Number of illicit discharges, actual and those inferred through evidence at high priority sites and sites that disturb 1 acre or more of land (C.6.e.iii.1.f)	0
Number of sites with discharges, actual and those inferred through evidence at high priority sites and sites that disturb 1 acre or more of land (C.6.e.iii.1.g)	0

⁵⁴ Agencies should list the specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

⁵⁵ Percentage calculated as number of each type of enforcement action divided by the total number of enforcement actions.

⁵⁶ For example, Enforcement Level 1 may be Verbal Warning.

C.6.e.iii.1.h, i ► Violation Correction Times

	Number	Percent
Violations (excluding verbal warnings) fully corrected within 10 business days after violations are discovered or otherwise considered corrected in a timely period (C.6.e.iii.1.h)	0	%⁵⁷
Violations (excluding verbal warnings) not fully corrected within 30 days after violations are discovered (C.6.e.iii.1.i)	0	%⁵⁸
Total number of violations (excluding verbal warnings) for the reporting year⁵⁹	0	100%
Comments:		

C.6.e.iii.(2) ► Evaluation of Inspection Data

Describe your evaluation of the tracking data and data summaries and provide information on the evaluation results (e.g., data trends, typical BMP performance issues, comparisons to previous years, etc.).

Description:

With construction still slow within the City, the amount of data to evaluate is limited. For this reporting year there was only one project that triggered the inspecting and reporting threshold for this Provision. With only one site's data on hand to evaluate, it is difficult to summarize data trends or even evaluate typical BMP performance from this site compared to another. The inspector for the City of Pinole did confirm that all BMPs were properly installed and only needed routine maintenance to work as designed.

C.6.e.iii.(2) ► Evaluation of Inspection Program Effectiveness

Describe what appear to be your program's strengths and weaknesses, and identify needed improvements, including education and outreach.

Description:

The current inspection program implemented is working as planned. There have not been any violations of the City's Ordinance during this past fiscal year. Current Best Management Practices required by the City and enforced by the City Inspector deem this program effective.

⁵⁷ Calculated as number of violations fully corrected in a timely period after the violations are discovered divided by the total number of violations for the reporting year.

⁵⁸ Calculated as number of violations not fully corrected within 30 days after the violations are discovered divided by the total number of violations for the reporting year.

⁵⁹ The total number of violations reported in the table of Violation Correction Times equals the number of initial enforcement actions. I.e., This assumes one violation is issued for several problems during an inspection at a site. The total number of violations in the table of Violation Correction Times may not equal the total number of enforcement actions because one violation issued at a site may have a second enforcement action for the same violation at the next inspection if it is not corrected.

C.6.f ► Staff Training Summary				
Training Name	Training Dates	Topics Covered	No. of Inspectors in Attendance	Percent of Inspectors in Attendance
Construction Site Stormwater Controls Workshop – Walnut Creek Civic Arts Education Center	April 10, 2014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C.6 Requirements Overview • Recognizing C.6 BMPs – Inspector's Eye • Relating C.6 to the Construction General Permit • Inspections, Documentation, and Reporting • Enforcement – Using the ERP • Using Inspection Tools Exercise and Discussion 	1	100%

Section 7 – Provision C.7. Public Information and Outreach

C.7.b.ii.1 ► Advertising Campaign

Summarize advertising efforts. Include details such as messages, creative developed, and outreach media used. The detailed advertising report may be included as an attachment. If advertising is being done by participation in a countywide or regional program, refer to the separate countywide or regional Annual Report.

Summary:

Refer to the CCCWP's Annual Report for a complete review of advertising efforts conducted on behalf of all Permittees.

C.7.b.iii.1 ► Pre-Campaign Survey

(For the Annual Report following the pre-campaign survey) Summarize survey information such as sample size, type of survey (telephone survey, interviews etc.). Attach a survey report that includes the following information. If survey was done regionally, refer to a regional submittal that contains the following information:

Refer to Section C.7 in the CCCWP's FY 13-14 Annual Report for complete details on the pre-campaign survey conducted for the CCCWP's Pesticide Campaign.

Place an **X** in the appropriate box below:

<input type="checkbox"/>	Survey report attached
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reference to regional submittal:

C.7.b.iii.2 ► Post-Campaign Survey

(For the Annual Report following the post-campaign survey) Discuss the campaigns and the measureable changes in awareness and behavior achieved. Provide an update of outreach strategies based on the survey results. If survey was done regionally, refer to a regional submittal that contains the following information:

Refer to Section C.7 in the CCCWP's FY 13-14 Annual Report for complete details on the post-campaign survey conducted for the CCCWP's Pesticide Campaign.

Place an **X** in the appropriate box below:

<input type="checkbox"/>	Survey report attached
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reference to regional submittal:

C.7.c ► Media Relations

Summarize the media relations effort. Include the following details for each media pitch in the space below, AND/OR refer to a regional report that includes these details:

- Topic and content of pitch
- Medium (TV, radio, print, online)
- Date of publication/broadcast

Summary:

The following separate report developed by BASMAA summarizes media relations efforts conducted during FY 13-14:

- BASMAA Media Relations Final Report FY 13-14

This report and any other media relations efforts conducted countywide is included within the C.7 Public Information and Outreach section of the Countywide Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report.

C.7.d ► Stormwater Point of Contact

Summary of any changes made during FY 13-14:

No Change

C.7.e ► Public Outreach Events

Describe general approach to event selection. Provide a list of outreach materials and giveaways distributed.

Use the following table for reporting and evaluating public outreach events

Event Details	Description (messages, audience)	Evaluation of Effectiveness
June 22, 2014 - Pinole's Annual Car Show, in partnership with "Recyclemore".	A public outreach booth was set up to help in the education of recycling used oil and protecting the waterways. The City of Pinole also teamed up with the City of Hercules to provide Water Bottles with a message about preventing fats oils and grease overflows.	Attendance was estimated at 400 people. Approx. 300 people visited the booth. During this event the following items were distributed to the local community. All of the items below had a pollution prevention message 180 Oil funnels 200 Oil Kits 144 Water Bottles 125 Educational Pamphlets.

		300 Re-usable tote-bags 50 Activity Books 72 Coffee Mugs
May 2014 – Supported “Bringing Back the Natives” Garden Tour	Refer to the C.7 Section of the CCCWP’s FY 13-14 Annual Report	Refer to the C.7 Section of the CCCWP’s FY 13-14 Annual Report
Supported – Our Water Our World	Refer to the C.7 Section of the CCCWP’s FY 13-14 Annual Report	Refer to the C.7 Section of the CCCWP’s FY 13-14 Annual Report

C.7.f. ► Watershed Stewardship Collaborative Efforts

Summarize watershed stewardship collaborative efforts and/or refer to a regional report that provides details. Describe the level of effort and support given (e.g., funding only, active participation etc.). State efforts undertaken and the results of these efforts. If this activity is done regionally refer to a regional report.

Evaluate effectiveness by describing the following:

- Efforts undertaken
- Major accomplishments

Summary:

Refer to the CCCWP’s Program’s Annual Report, Section C.7, for reporting on this provision.

Activities conducted at the countywide level of behalf of all permittees includes:

1. Program participation in the Contra Costa Watershed Forum
2. Support of the Green Business Program
3. Information provided through the Clean water website community calendar

C.7.g. ► Citizen Involvement Events

List the types of events conducted (e.g., creek clean up, storm drain inlet marking, native gardening etc.). Use the following table for reporting and evaluating citizen involvement events.

Event Details	Description	Evaluation of effectiveness
Support of the Community Watershed Stewardship Grant Program	Refer to the C.7 Section of the CCCWP’s FY 13-14 Annual Report	Refer to the C.7 Section of the CCCWP’s FY 13-14 Annual Report
Coastal Clean-up Day	The City sponsors Coastal Clean-up Day. Sponsorship includes dumpsters and staffing to help facilitate the event.	The effectiveness of Coastal Clean-up Day is based largely on the efforts of the Coastal Conservancy. The City will continue to support

		this event as it brings many of Pinole's citizens together to perform civic service.
Community Service Day 6-2-2014	Trash collection performed along San Pablo Ave, Fitzgerald Dr. and Appian Way.	Continued attendance at this event has been what City staff is using to gauge effectiveness.
Fall 2013 – City Dumpster Day	The City provides multiple dumpsters for residents to dump debris of all kinds to encourage people not to illegally dump items.	This programs effectiveness is challenging to evaluate. The City has not had any reports or finding of illegal dumping for a number of years. Offering free disposal of refuse annually may be a positive approach to eliminating illegal dumping.

C.7.h. ► School-Age Children Outreach

Summarize school-age children outreach programs implemented. A detailed report may be included as an attachment.
 Use the following table for reporting school-age children outreach efforts.

Program Details	Focus & Short Description	Number of Students/Teachers reached	Evaluation of Effectiveness
Provide the following information: Name Grade or level (elementary/ middle/ high)	Brief description, messages, methods of outreach used	Provide number or participants	Provide agency staff feedback. Report any other evaluation methods used (quiz, teacher feedback etc.). Attach evaluation summary if applicable.
Development and initial implementation of “Be Classy Not Trashy” Youth Outreach Litter Campaign.	Refer to the C.7 Section of the CCCWP's FY 12-13 Annual Report for a description of School-age Children Outreach efforts conducted at the countywide level	Refer to the C.7 Section of the CCCWP's FY 12-13 Annual Report for a description of School-age Children Outreach efforts conducted at the countywide level	Refer to the C.7 Section of the CCCWP's FY 12-13 Annual Report for a description of School-age Children Outreach efforts conducted at the countywide level
Continuation of Mr. Funnelhead school, city/county events and TV ads.	Refer to the C.7 Section of the CCCWP's FY 12-13 Annual Report for a description of School-age Children Outreach efforts conducted at the	Refer to the C.7 Section of the CCCWP's FY 12-13 Annual Report for a	Refer to the C.7 Section of the CCCWP's FY 12-13 Annual Report for a description of School-age Children Outreach efforts conducted at

	countywide level	description of School-age Children Outreach efforts conducted at the countywide level	the countywide level
--	------------------	---	----------------------

Section 8 - Provision C.8 Water Quality Monitoring

C.8 ► Water Quality Monitoring

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities can also describe below any Water Quality Monitoring activities in which they participate directly, e.g. participation in RMP workgroups, fieldwork within their jurisdictions, etc.

Summary

During FY 13-14, we contributed through the CCCWP to the BASMAA Regional Monitoring Coalition (RMC). In addition, we contributed financially to the Regional Monitoring Program for Water Quality in the San Francisco Estuary (RMP) and were represented at RMP committees and work groups. Monitoring efforts and results are documented in a separate report submitted March 15 of each year, as required in Provision C.8. For additional information on monitoring activities conducted by the CCCWP, BASMAA RMC and the RMP, see the C.8 Water Quality Monitoring section of the Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report and the Integrated Monitoring Report.

Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls

C.9.b ► Implement IPM Policy or Ordinance

Report implementation of IPM BMPs by showing trends in quantities and types of pesticides used, and suggest reasons for increases in use of pesticides that threaten water quality, specifically organophosphates, pyrethroids, carbaryl, and fipronil. A separate report can be attached as evidence of your implementation.

Trends in Quantities and Types of Pesticides Used⁶⁰

Pesticide Category and Specific Pesticide Used	Amount ⁶¹				
	FY 09-10	FY 10-11	FY 11-12	FY 12-13	FY 13-14
Organophosphates	0	0	0	0	0
Pyrethroids	0	0	0	15.85oz	Approx. 15oz
Carbaryl	0	0	0	0	0
Fipronil	0	0	0	1.4oz	Approx. 1.25oz

C.9.c ► Train Municipal Employees

Enter the number of employees that applied or used pesticides (including herbicides) within the scope of their duties this reporting year.	4
Enter the number of these employees who received training on your IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within the last 3 years.	4
Enter the percentage of municipal employees who apply pesticides who have received training in the IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within the last three years.	100%

⁶⁰ Includes all municipal structural and landscape pesticide usage by employees and contractors.

⁶¹ Weight or volume of the product or preferably its active ingredient, using same units for the product each year. The active ingredients in any pesticide are listed on the label. The list of active ingredients that need to be reported in the pyrethroids class includes: allethrin, bifenthrin, beta-cyfluthrin, bioallethrin, cyfluthrin, cypermethrin, cyphenothrin, deltamethrin, esfenvalerate, etofenprox, fenpropathrin, gamma-cyhalothrin, imiprothrin, lambda-cyhalothrin, metofluthrin, permethrin, phenothrin, prallethrin, resmethrin, sumithrin (d-phenothrin), tau-fluvalinate, tefluthrin, tetramethrin, tralomethrin, cis-permethrin, and zeta-cypermethrin.

C.9.d ► Require Contractors to Implement IPM

Did your municipality contract with any pesticide service provider in the reporting year?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
If yes, attach one of the following:				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Contract specifications that require adherence to your IPM policy and standard operating procedures, OR			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Copy(ies) of the contractors' IPM certification(s) or equivalent, OR			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Equivalent documentation.			
If Not attached , explain:				

C.9.e ► Track and Participate in Relevant Regulatory Processes

Summarize participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected OR reference a regional report that summarizes regional participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected.
Summary: During FY 13-14, we participated in regulatory processes related to pesticides through contributions to the CCCWP, BASMAA and CASQA. For additional information, see the Regional Report submitted by BASMAA on behalf of all MRP Permittees.

C.9.f ► Interface with County Agricultural Commissioners

Did your municipal staff observe any improper pesticide usage or evidence of improper usage (e.g., pesticides in storm drain systems, along street curbs, or in receiving waters) during this fiscal year?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
If yes, provide a summary of improper pesticide usage reported to the County Agricultural Commissioner and follow-up actions taken to correct any violations. A separate report can be attached as your summary.				

C.9.h.ii ► Public Outreach: Point of Purchase

Provide a summary of public outreach at point of purchase, and any measurable awareness and behavior changes resulting from outreach (here or in a separate report); OR reference a report of a regional effort for public outreach in which your agency participates.
Summary: See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section of the CCCWP's FY 13-14 Annual Report for information on point of purchase public outreach conducted countywide and regionally.

--

C.9.h.vi ► Public Outreach: Pest Control Operators

Provide a summary of public outreach to pest control operators and landscapers and reduced pesticide use (here or in a separate report); **OR** reference a report of a regional effort for outreach to pest control operators and landscapers in which your agency participates.

Summary:

See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section of CCCWP's FY 13-14 Annual Report for a summary of our participation in and contributions towards countywide and regional public outreach to pest control operators and landscapers to reduce pesticide use.

Section 10 - Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction

C.10.a.iii ► Minimum Full Trash Capture

Provide the following:

- 1) Descriptions of actions/tasks completed towards achieving the Minimum Full Trash Capture requirement in provision C.10.a.iii. Include the:
 - Total number and types of full capture devices (publicly and privately-owned) installed to-date;
 - Total land area (acres) and land areas within each trash generation category (i.e., very high, high, moderate and low) treated by full capture devices (or other types of devices for non-population based Permittees), in comparison to the MRP-required full capture requirements in Attachment J to the MRP; and,
 - Percentage of jurisdictional land areas with very high, high, moderate and low trash generation rates treated by full capture devices.
- 2) A narrative summary of maintenance activities implemented for each device, group of devices, or device type, including descriptions of typical maintenance frequencies and issues associated with maintaining these devices.

Descriptions of Actions/Tasks (Conducted or Planned):

- Full Trash Capture devices have been installed in areas identified in the trash generation map as being medium and high trash generation areas. As of June 30, 2013, 32 full trash capture devices are online on Pinole Valley Rd. and San Pablo Ave.
- The devices that are in the ground and online are REM – Triton Bioflex Drop Inlet Trash Guards. These are full capture devices.
- The City is currently reviewing options to consider the installation of additional Full Trash Capture devices in very high, high and medium trash generation areas identified on the trash generation map.
- Total Land Area treated by full capture is 82 acres (31 devices and 2 LID Facilities)
- Total land area by generation category: Very High – 0 acres, High – 23 acres, Medium – 39 acres and Low – 20 acres.
- Percentage of land areas in generation categories covered by full trash capture: Very High – 0%, High – 22%, Medium – 7%, Low – 0%

Descriptions of Maintenance Activities:

- The City performed its second maintenance events this past year. Trash in the devices along San Pablo Ave. and Pinole Valley Rd. has been characterized as being a split between trash (20%) and organics (80%).
- The maintenance event on San Pablo Ave. collected approx. 1 cubic yard of material. All of the devices were found to be functioning as designed and no further maintenance to the device was needed.
- The maintenance event on Pinole Valley Rd. collected approx. ½ cubic yard of material.
- Maintenance is scheduled for August and September prior to the rainy season. Maintenance will be done annually.

C.10.b.iii ► Trash Hot Spot Assessment

Provide the volume of material removed during each MRP-required Trash Hot Spot cleanup during each fiscal year, and the dominant types of trash (e.g., glass, plastics, paper) removed and their sources in FY 2013-14 to the extent possible.

Trash Hot Spot	FY 13-14 Cleanup Date	Volume of Trash Removed (cubic yards)				Dominant Type(s) of Trash in FY 2013-14	Trash Sources in FY 2013-14 (where possible)
		FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12	FY 2012-13	FY 2013-14		
Pinole Creek – City of Pinole	9/9/2013	1 Cubic Yard	0.8 Cubic Yard	1.25 Cubic Yards	1 Cubic Yard	Plastic bags, Convenience/fast food items, bottles, and cardboard	Wind blown trash

C.10.c ► Long-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan

Provide descriptions of significant revisions made to your Long-term Trash Load Reduction Plan submitted to the Water Board in February 2014. Describe significant changes made to primary or secondary trash management areas (TMA), trash generation maps, control measures, or time schedules identified in your plan.

Description of Significant Revision	Associated TMA
The City of Pinole has not made any significant changes to the long-term plan since the February 2014 submittal.	

C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)				
Provide a description of each jurisdictional-wide trash control measure implemented to-date. Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed by each control measure. For each jurisdictional-wide measure, identify the trash assessment method(s) used to demonstrate on-going reductions, summarize the results of the assessment(s), and estimate the associated reduction of trash within your jurisdictional area.				
Control Measure	Summary Description of Control Measure & Dominant Trash Sources and Types	Assessment Method(s)	Summary of Assessment Results To-date	Estimated % Trash Reduced
Public Education and Outreach Programs Targeted at Trash Reduction and Implemented post-MRP Adoption	Community Service Day 6-2-2014	Track Number of bags of garbage collected	The estimate from the Public Works department is that 10 yards of trash was collected.	0%
Public Education and Outreach Programs Targeted at Trash Reduction and Implemented post-MRP Adoption	Fall 2013 – City Dumpster Day	Track the percentage of trash that would otherwise be dumped illegally. This does not include large items. Only small items that would fit in a trash bag.	The estimate of small trash that could bfit into trash bags is estimated by Public Works at 10% of each of the 12 40 yard dumpsters provided or 48 yards of small trash.	1%

C.10.d ► PART A - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (Jurisdictional-wide Actions)

Provide a description of each jurisdictional-wide trash control measure implemented to-date. Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed by each control measure. For each jurisdictional-wide measure, identify the trash assessment method(s) used to demonstrate on-going reductions, summarize the results of the assessment(s), and estimate the associated reduction of trash within your jurisdictional area.

<p>Public Education and Outreach Programs Targeted at Trash Reduction and Implemented post-MRP Adoption</p>	<p>Through the CCCWP, the Permittees conducted a "Litter Travels, But It Can Stop with You" multi-year campaign that started in FY 2009-2010 and ran through FY 2011-2012. The multi-media campaign was designed to educate citizens about the impacts of trash and litter in the County's waterways and how they can help address this problem. The campaign included TV spots, billboards, posters at BART stations, placards on transit buses, print ads, and updates to the CCCWP website. Other outreach included more than 10,000 letters to County residents, contact with youth sport leagues, outreach to 17 school districts in the County, and distribution of flyers to students in 5 of those districts. Pre and post-campaign surveys were conducted.</p> <p>In addition, as per MRP requirement Provision C.3.a.i (7) and C.3.c.i (1) (f), municipalities stencil all new stormdrains with the <i>No Dumping - Drains to the Bay</i> signage (or equivalent) and maintain stencils on all stormdrains.</p> <p>Both Litter Travels and stormdrain stenciling are aimed at reducing all trash types and sources.</p>	<p>Survey results conducted from the multi-year "Litter Travels" advertising campaign.</p>	<p>Surveys were conducted to measure the effectiveness of the "Litter Travels" campaign that ran from 2009 to 2012. As stated in the May 2010, Topline Report, there was 18% increase between 2009 and 2010 in the "very willing" response to the question of "How willing are you to participate in a community event to help cleanup trash." As shown in the June 2011 Topline report, there was an 21% increase from 2009 to 2011 in the "very high" response to the question of "How high would you rate your own concern about litter polluting water?"</p> <p>While metrics are not currently available to gauge the effectiveness of storm drain stenciling, both the US EPA and the State Water Board recognize the value of stenciling in raising awareness of the connection between storm drains and receiving waters. The US EPA includes storm drain stenciling as a BMP for NPDES permits under Public Outreach and Participation. The State Water Board in its release of <i>Draft Amendments to the Statewide Water Quality Control Plans to Control Trash</i> includes storm drain stenciling as one means of educating the public about the direct discharge of storm water to receiving waters and the effects of littering and dumping on receiving water quality.</p> <p>While both the "Litter Travels" campaign and storm drain stenciling cannot be assigned specific trash reduction percentages, a 2% reduction has been assigned based on best professional judgment.</p>	<p>2%</p>
---	---	--	--	------------------

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)

Complete the following trash control measure implementation and assessment summary for each primary trash management area (TMA) identified in your Long-term Plan. Include the following information:

- Identify the total jurisdictional area and the % of that area that generates very high (VH), high (H), moderate (M), or low (L) levels of trash;
- Identify the dominant trash source(s) and dominant type(s) of trash addressed or to-be addressed in the TMA;
- Include the area currently treated by full capture devices, the quantity and type of devices installed to-date, and the % of jurisdictional area that generates very high (VH), high (H), moderate (M), and low (L) levels of trash after accounting for reductions via full capture devices;
- Summarize control measures other than full capture devices implemented to-date, distinguishing between implementation that began pre- and post-MRP effective date. If not implemented in the entire TMA, describe generation category targeted and % of TMA addressed;
- Provide the % of the jurisdictional area that generates very VH, H, M or L levels of trash after accounting for all control measures implemented to-date;
- Describe the methods used to evaluate the effectiveness of control measures other than full capture devices, and any assessment results to-date. If the method was not implemented in the entire TMA, describe generation category targeted and % of TMA addressed; and
- Provide an estimate of the % of trash reduced in the TMA and jurisdiction-wide.

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)

TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category			
					VH	H	M	L
1	123	Pedestrian/Vehicle Generated	Paper, plastics, food containers	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0	86	7	7
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)		After taking into account <u>Full Capture Devices</u>	0	83	7	10
Total Area (Acres)	4	Full Trash capture has been incorporated into the Chase Bank and OSH Hardware development plans. Bio-retention facilities are on-line and functioning as planned.						
% of TMA	3							
% of VH/H/M	3							
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices				After taking into account <u>all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures</u>	0	83	7	10
An On-land cleanup was performed in June of 2014. 1800 linear feet of Fitzgerald Drive were cleaned. The area was cleaned to “No Visual Impact” or a low generation rate. Trash collected totaled 0.25 yards.								
Community Service Day On-land Cleanup 6/2/2014. Volunteer cleanup along Fitzgerald Dr.								
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices								
The City is using the On-land Visual Assessment form and instructions provided by BASMAA as suggested by the CCCWP.								
Summary of Assessment Results To-date								
City staff collected 0.25 yards of debris. A reassessment was performed one month later on 7/21/2014 and the area was assessed at a moderate level of trash generation. The City will review the Long-term Plan to see if an adjustment to the trash generation for this area is warranted. Community Service Day collected an estimated 723 gallons of trash for a 25% load reduction of 181 gallons.								
Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>in TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					9			
Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					5			

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)								
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category			
					VH	H	M	L
2	29	CalTrans ROW, Pedestrian/Vehicle generation	Paper, plastics, food containers	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0	72	28	0
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)		After taking into account <u>Full Capture Devices</u>	0	30	28	42
Total Area (Acres)	12	6 FCD Devices Installed. REM Triton Devices installed in 2012. Pinole Valley shopping Center was designed and built with Filterra devices that are full trash capture.						
% of TMA	42							
% of VH/H/M	42							
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices				After taking into account <u>all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures</u>	0	30	28	42
N/A								
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices								
Summary of Assessment Results To-date								
Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>in TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					53			
Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					6			

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)								
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category			
					VH	H	M	L
3	138	Pedestrian/Vehicle Generated	Paper, plastics, food containers	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0	16	49	35
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)		After taking into account <u>Full Capture Devices</u>	0	10	27	63
Total Area (Acres)	44	22 FCD Devices Installed. REM Triton Devices installed in 2012.						
% of TMA	32							
% of VH/H/M	43							
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices				After taking into account <u>all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures</u>	0	10	27	63
Community Service Day On-land Cleanup 6/2/2014. Volunteer cleanup along Fitzgerald Dr.								
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices								
No assessments were conducted.								
Summary of Assessment Results To-date								
Community Service Day collected an estimated 807 gallons of trash for a 25% load reduction of 202 gallons.								
Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>in TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					57			
Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					11			

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
4	25	CalTrans ROW, Pedestrian/Vehicle Generated	Paper, plastics, food containers	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0	86	4	10	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account <u>Full Capture Devices</u>	0	86	4	10
Total Area (Acres)	0	N/A							
% of TMA	0								
% of VH/H/M	0								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account <u>all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures</u>	0	86	4	10
Community Service Day On-land Cleanup 6/2/2014. Volunteer cleanup along San Pablo Ave.									
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
No assessments were conducted.									
Summary of Assessment Results To-date									
Community Service Day collected an estimated 151 gallons of trash for a 25% load reduction of 38 gallons.									
					Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>in TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions				6
					Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions				1

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
5	20	Pedestrian/Vehicle Generated	Paper, plastics, food containers	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0	0	93	7	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account <u>Full Capture Devices</u>	0	0	93	7
Total Area (Acres)	0	N/A							
% of TMA	0								
% of VH/H/M	0								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account <u>all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures</u>	0	0	93	7
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
Summary of Assessment Results To-date									
					Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>in TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions		0		
					Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions		0		

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)								
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category			
					VH	H	M	L
6	31	Pedestrian Generated	Paper, plastics, food containers	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0	0	100	0
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)		After taking into account <u>Full Capture Devices</u>	0	0	72	28
Total Area (Acres)	8	5 FCD Devices Installed. REM Triton Devices installed in 2012.						
% of TMA	28							
% of VH/H/M	28							
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices				After taking into account <u>all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures</u>	0	0	72	28
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices								
Summary of Assessment Results To-date								
Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>in TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					28			
Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions					1			

C.10.d ► PART B - Trash Control Measure Implementation and Assessment (TMA Specific Actions)									
TMA ID	TMA Area (Acres)	Dominant Sources	Dominant Types		% TMA in Each Trash Generation Category				
					VH	H	M	L	
7	2768	Pedestrian generated litter	Paper, plastics, food containers	Baseline Generation (Pre-MRP)	0	0	0	100	
Trash Full Capture Devices		Summary Descriptions of Full Trash Capture Devices (Quantity and Type)			After taking into account <u>Full Capture Devices</u>	0	0	0	100
Total Area (Acres)	14	LID Bio-retention as a requirement of Provision C.3							
% of TMA	0								
% of VH/H/M	1								
Summary Descriptions of Control Measures Implemented Since MRP Adoption, Other than Full Capture Devices					After taking into account <u>all New or Enhanced (post-MRP) Control Measures</u>	0	0	0	100
Assessment Methods for Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices									
Summary of Assessment Results To-date									
					Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>in TMA</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions		0		
					Estimated % Trash Reduction <u>Jurisdiction-wide</u> due to New or Enhanced Post-MRP actions		0		

C.10.d ► PART C – Estimated Overall Trash Load Reduction

For Population-based Permittees, provide an estimate of the overall trash reduction percentage achieved to-date within the jurisdictional area of your municipality that generates problematic trash levels (i.e., Very High, High or Moderate trash generation). Base the estimate on the information presented in C.10.d – Parts A and B and creek/shoreline cleanups not reported in C.10.b.iii. Provide a statement regarding the confidence in the estimate and challenges and/or successes in measuring progress towards the 40% trash reduction target described in provision C.10.

Discussion of Trash Reduction Estimate:

The City of Pinole has calculated a very conservative trash reduction percentage for 2013-14. While the City is involved with a number of trash reduction efforts such as Community Service Day, City Dumpster Day and is a long standing sponsor and supporter of Coastal Cleanup Day, it is hard to gauge how these efforts can be included into the City's Long-term Plan based on the current accepted model for trash reduction calculation. The City has an annual generation rate of 6,159 gallons based on the current model. During the next fiscal year the City may need to revisit the generation rates assigned to TMAs within the City to ensure accuracy for the City moving forward.

Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Jurisdictional-wide Actions	3
Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Trash Full Capture Devices (All TMAs)	16
Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Other Control Measures (All TMAs)	7
SubTotal for Above Actions	26
Estimated % Trash Reduction due to Creek/Shoreline Cleanups (All TMAs)	0
Total Estimated % Trash Reduction in FY 13-14	26

Section 11 - Provision C.11 Mercury Controls

C.11.a.i ► Mercury Recycling Efforts

List below or attach lists of efforts to promote, facilitate, and/or participate in collection and recycling of mercury containing devices and equipment at the consumer level (e.g., thermometers, thermostats, switches, bulbs).

The City of Pinole participates in the following recycling efforts to control Mercury.

1. **Dental Amalgam Program** – The City updated its list of dentists operating within its jurisdiction. All dental facilities were inspected. The City is considering a City Ordinance for Amalgam Separators.
2. **Household Hazardous Waste Collection** – HHW collection is offered to residents at a drop off location.
3. **Public Outreach/Education** – Through outreach efforts the public is educated on the dangers of mercury contamination and residents can exchange thermometers with mercury for non-mercury containing thermometers.
4. **Vehicle Service Facilities** – The City of Pinole has it's own vehicle service facilities at the Corp. Yard. The Corp. Yard has an enclosed system that is directly connected to the Waste Water Treatment Plant that is onsite as well. This ensures that all vehicle related chemicals including Mercury are contained and properly handled.
 - a) **Laboratory Compliance in R&D Divisions** – The City is currently working with R&D Divisions at Laboratories within its jurisdiction to eliminate the use of preservatives that contain Mercury.

C.11.a.ii ► Mercury Collection

Provide an estimate of the mass of mercury collected through these efforts, or provide a reference to a report containing this estimate.

Please refer to the FY 13-14 CCCWP Annual Report for an estimate of the mass of mercury collected through collection and recycling efforts in the Countywide Program area.

Mercury Containing Device/Equipment	Total Amount of Devices Collected	Estimated Mass of Mercury Collected
Fluorescent Lamps ⁶² (linear feet)	0	
CFLs ⁶³ (each)	0	
Thermostats ⁶⁴ (each)	0	

⁶² Only linear fluorescent lamps should be included

⁶³ Only compact fluorescent lamps should be included

⁶⁴ Thermostats can be reported by quantity or by pounds. Whichever unit is used, please avoid double-counting.

Thermostats (lbs)	0	
Thermometers (each)	0	
Switches (lbs)	0	
Total Mass of Mercury Collected During FY 2013-2014:		0

C.11.b ► Monitor Methylmercury
C.11.c ► Pilot Projects to Investigate and Abate Mercury Sources in Drainages
C.11.d ► Pilot Projects to Evaluate and Enhance Municipal Sediment Removal and Management Practices
C.11.e ► Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate On-Site Stormwater Treatment via Retrofit
C.11.f ► Diversion of Dry Weather and First Flush Flows to POTWs
C.11.g ► Monitor Stormwater Mercury Pollutant Loads and Loads Reduced
C.11.h ► Fate and Transport Study of Mercury In Urban Runoff
C.11.i ► Development of a Risk Reduction Program Implemented Throughout the Region
C.11.j ► Develop Allocation Sharing Scheme with Caltrans

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities that participate directly in regional activities to can provide descriptions below.

Summary

A summary of CCCWP and regional accomplishments for these sub-provisions are included within the C.11 Mercury Controls section of Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report, Integrated Monitoring Report

Section 12 - Provision C.12 PCBs Controls

C.12.a.ii,iii ► Ongoing Training

(For FY 10-11 Annual Report and Each Annual Report Thereafter) List below or attach description of ongoing training development and inspections for PCB identification, including documentation and referral to appropriate regulatory agencies (e.g. county health departments, Department of Toxic Substances Control, California Department of Public Health, and the Water Board) as necessary.

Description:

See the FY 12-13 CCCWP Annual Report for a description of training provided countywide and/or regionally.

C.12.b ► Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate Managing PCB-Containing Materials and Wastes during Building Demolition and Renovation Activities

C.12.c ► Pilot Projects to Investigate and Abate On-land Locations with Elevated PCB Concentrations

C.12.d ► Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate and Enhance Municipal Sediment Removal and Management Practices

C.12.e ► Conduct Pilot Projects to Evaluate On-Site Stormwater Treatment via Retrofit

C.12.f ► Diversion of Dry Weather and First Flush Flows to POTWs

C.12.g ► Monitor Stormwater PCB Pollutant Loads and Loads Reduced

C.12.h ► Fate and Transport Study of PCBs In Urban Runoff

C.12.i ► Development of a Risk Reduction Program Implemented Throughout the Region

State below if information is reported in a separate regional report. Municipalities that participate directly in regional activities to can provide descriptions below.

Summary

A summary of CCCWP and regional accomplishments for these sub-provisions are included within the C.12 PCB Controls section of Program's FY 13-14 Annual Report, Integrated Monitoring Report.

Section 13 - Provision C.13 Copper Controls

C.13.a.iii.(2) ► Training, Permitting and Enforcement Activities

(FY 11-12 Annual Report and each Annual Report thereafter) Provide summaries of activities implemented to manage waste generated from cleaning and treating of copper architectural features, including copper roofs, during construction and post-construction including. :

- Development of BMPs on how to manage the water during and post construction
- Requiring the use of appropriate BMPs when issuing building permits
- Educating installers and operators on appropriate BMPs
- Enforcement actions taken again noncompliance

The City of Pinole uses its Stormwater Ordinance to enforce the discharge of any pollutant to the MS4. Any illicit discharge related to architectural copper would be enforced in accordance with the City's Enforcement Response Plan.

C.13.d.iii ► Industrial Sources Copper Reduction Results

Based upon inspection activities conducted under Provision C.4, highlight copper reduction results achieved among the facilities identified as potential users or sources of copper, facilities inspected, and BMPs addressed.

Summary

Vehicle Service Facility Program

A site inspection was conducted on both vehicle service facilities this year. The facilities were found to be in compliance with one location continuing to meet the Green Business Program guidelines.

Bio-Rad Monitoring Program

A copper monitoring program for the cooling towers and the boiler loop system at the Bio-Rad facility was developed this year. Bio-Rad started a self-monitoring program to reduce copper from entering the collection system. Bio-Rad is having monthly copper testing performed by Inserv Company on these systems. Inserv provides the City with copies of Bio-Rads monthly monitoring reports. Bio-Rad has implemented a new cleaning method that has reduced copper readings from 0.5mg/L to less than 0.1mg/L.

Section 14 - Provision C.14 PBDE, Legacy Pesticides and Selenium Controls

Note: There are no reporting requirements in the FY 13-14 Annual Report for Section C.14.

Section 15 - Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges

C.15.b.iii.(1), C.15.b.iii.(2) ► Planned and Unplanned Discharges of Potable Water

Is your agency a water purveyor?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
If No , skip to C.15.b.vi.(2):				
If Yes , Complete the attached reporting tables or attach your own table with the same information. Provide any clarifying comments below.				
Comments:				

C.15.b.vi.(2) ► Irrigation Water, Landscape Irrigation, and Lawn or Garden Watering

<p>Provide implementation summaries of the required BMPs to promote measures that minimize runoff and pollutant loading from excess irrigation. Generally the categories are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promote conservation programs • Promote outreach for less toxic pest control and landscape management • Promote use of drought tolerant and native vegetation • Promote outreach messages to encourage appropriate watering/irrigation practices • Implement Illicit Discharge Enforcement Response Plan for ongoing, large volume landscape irrigation runoff.
<p>Summary:</p> <p>The City of Pinole through the CCCWP promotes several programs and measures to minimize pollutant loading from excess irrigation including, but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6th Edition Stormwater C.3 Guidebook adopted by ordinance promotes to land development professionals landscaping designed to: 1) minimize irrigation and runoff; 2) promote infiltration of runoff where appropriate; and, 3) minimize use of fertilizers and pesticides using pest-resistant plants that are suited to site conditions (e.g., soil and climate). • Green Business Program promotes to businesses a variety of measures such as using drought tolerant plantings, mulching, carefully monitoring irrigation schedules and needs, and implementing Integrated Pest Management. • Our Water Our World (OWOW) Program promotes to consumers and the point of purchase less toxic alternatives to combating lawn and garden pests. • Bay Friendly Landscaping and Gardening Training and Certification Program promotes to landscapers a variety of measures designed to reduce waste and prevent stormwater pollution.

C.15.b.iii.(1) ► Planned Discharges of the Potable Water System										
Site/ Location	Discharge Type	Receiving Waterbody(ies)	Date of Discharge	Duration of Discharge (military time)	Estimated Volume (gallons)	Estimated Flow Rate (gallons/day)	Chlorine Residual (mg/L)	pH (standard units)	Discharge Turbidity ⁶⁵ (NTU)	Implemented BMPs & Corrective Actions

⁶⁵ Monitor the receiving water for turbidity if necessary and feasible. Include data in this column if available.

C.15.b.iii.(2) ► Unplanned Discharges of the Potable Water System ⁶⁶														
Site/ Location	Discharge Type	Receiving Waterbody(ies)	Date of Discharge	Discharge Duration (military time)	Estimated Volume (gallons)	Estimated Flow Rate (gallons/day)	Chlorine Residual (mg/L) ⁶⁷	pH (standard units) ⁵²	Discharge Turbidity (Visual) ⁵²	Implemented BMPs & Corrective Actions	Time of discharge discovery	Regulatory Agency Notification Time ⁶⁸	Inspector arrival time	Responding crew arrival time

⁶⁶ This table contains all of the unplanned discharges that occurred in this FY.

⁶⁷ Monitoring data is only required for 10% of the unplanned discharges. If you monitored more than 10% of your unplanned discharges, report all of the data collected.

⁶⁸ . Notification to Water Board staff is required for unplanned discharges where the chlorine residual is >0.05 mg/L and total volume is ≥ 50,000 gallons. Notification to State Office of Emergency Services is required after becoming aware of aquatic impacts as a result of unplanned discharge or when the discharge might endanger or compromise public health and safety.

Attachments for C.4

Pinole Clean Water Inspections
Annual Report
Fiscal Year 2013-2014
7/1/2013-6/30/2014

	Name	Address	City	Last Inspection Date	Inspector1	Program Category	Inspection Type	Enforcement?	Ed Materials Distributed
1	Solar Car Wash	730 5TH Ave	Pinole	08-Apr-14	KO	Car Wash/Det.	Routine	None	No
2	Super Car Wash/Bubbles Car Wash	1261 TARA HILLS Drive	Pinole	08-Apr-14	KO	Car Wash/Det.	Routine	None	No
3	Pinole Cleaners	2701 Pinole Valley Rd	Pinole	27-Jun-14	KO	Dry Cleaners	Routine	None	No
4	Soban	2701 PINOLE VALLEY Road	Pinole	12-Jun-14	JE	Food Service	Routine	None	No
5	Bear Claw Bakery	2340 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole	05-May-14	KO/JE	Food Service	Routine	None	Yes
6	BT Sandwich and Deli	1279 SAN PABLO Ave.	Pinole	05-May-14	KO/JE	Food Service	Routine	None	Yes
7	Burger King	1571 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole	05-Mar-14	KO	Food Service	Routine	None	No
8	Carl's Jr Restaurant	1550 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole	15-Oct-13	KO	Food Service	Routine	None	No
9	Cheese Steak Shop, Inc.	1394 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole	18-Feb-14	KO	Food Service	Routine	None	No
10	China Delights	1501 TARA HILLS Drive	Pinole	17-Sep-13	KO	Food Service	Routine	None	No
11	China House	1971 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole	27-Jun-14	KO/JE	Food Service	Routine	None	Yes
12	Chuck E. Cheese	1470 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole	08-Apr-14	KO	Food Service	Routine	None	No
13	The Embers	600 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole	18-Mar-14	KO/JE	Food Service	Routine	None	No
14	Happy Shashimi	2718 PINOLE VALLEY Road	Pinole	12-Jun-14	JE	Food Service	Routine	None	Yes
15	Kentucky Fried Chicken	1544 FITZGERALD DRIVE	Pinole	15-Oct-13	KO	Food Service	Routine	None	No
16	King Valley Restaurant	795 FERNANDEZ Ave.	Pinole	17-Apr-14	KO/JE	Food Service	Routine	Verbal	No
17	Sabor Latino 17	2511 SAN PABLO AVE.	Pinole	27-Jun-14	KO/JE	Food Service	Routine	None	Yes
18	Café Marron	701 BELMONT WAY #C	Pinole	11-Jun-14	KO/JE	Food Service	Routine	None	No
19	McDonald's	1402 TARA HILLS Drive	Pinole	11-Oct-13	KO/JE	Food Service	Routine	None	No
20	Mels	1441 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole	18-Feb-14	KO	Food Service	Routine	None	No
21	Panera Bread #1623	1376 FITZGERALD DRIVE	Pinole	18-Feb-14	KO	Food Service	Routine	None	No
22	Panda Express	1460 FITZGERALD Drive 101	Pinole	18-Feb-14	KO	Food Service	Routine	None	No
23	Pear Street Bistro	2395 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole	17-Apr-14	KO/JE	Food Service	Routine	None	No
24	Peet's Coffee & Tea	2712 PINOLE VALLEY RD	Pinole	04-Jun-14	KO/JE	Food Service	Routine	None	No
25	Pinole Creek Café	2454 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole	17-Apr-14	KO/JE	Food Service	Routine	None	No
26	Pinole Senior Village	2850 ESTATES Ave	Pinole	17-Jun-14	KO	Food Service	Routine	None	Yes
27	Pinole Valley Lanes	1580 Pinole Valley rd	Pinole	01-May-14	KO/JE	Commercial	Routine	Verbal	Yes
28	Sam's Dog House	2432 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole	05-May-14	KO/JE	Food Service	Routine	None	Yes
29	Taco Bell	1561 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole	05-Mar-14	KO	Food Service	Routine	None	No

30	Taqueira Sanchez	2400 San Pablo Avenue	Pinole	05-May-14	KO/JE	Food Service	Routine	None	Yes
31	Thai Spice	2701 PINOLE VALLEY Road B	Pinole	12-Jun-14	KO	Food Service	Routine	None	Yes
32	The Alley Cafe	2265 PEAR Street	Pinole	19-May-14	KO/JE	Food Service	Routine	None	Yes
33	The Red Onion	2870 PINOLE VALLEY Road	Pinole	7-May-14	KO/JE	Food Service	Routine	Verbal	Yes
34	Tina's Place	2300 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole	14-May-14	KO/JE	Food Service	Routine	None	No
35	Uncle Chung's Szechwan	2550 APPIAN Way	Pinole	17-Jun-14	KO	Food Service	Routine	None	No
36	Waffle Shop	2727 PINOLE VALLEY Road	Pinole			Food Service	Routine		
37	Pinole Valley Arco	2747 PINOLE VALLEY Road	Pinole	27-Jun-14	KO/JE	Gas Station	Routine	None	No
38	Major Line Gas	1390 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole	22-May-14	KO/JE	Gas Station	Routine	None	No
39	Food Maxx	1370 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole	08-Apr-14	KO	Grocery Store	Routine	None	No
40	eeonyx	750 BELMONT Way	Pinole	11-Aug-13	KO	Manufacturing	Routine	None	No
41	Del Monte Center	600-632 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole	22-May-14	KO/JE	Property Mngt	Routine	None	No
42	Pinole Service Center	730 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole	22-May-14	KO/JE	Property Mngt	Routine	None	No
43	JV Shopping Center	2701 PINOLE VALLEY Road	Pinole	24-Jun-14	KO/JE	Property Mngt	Routine	Verbal	No
44	Big Kmart Stores	1500 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole	15-Oct-13	KO	Retail	Routine	None	No
45	Michael's Art & Craft	1450 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole	08-Apr-14	KO	Retail	Routine	None	No
46	Big O Tires Inc.	700 BELMONT Way	Pinole	23-Oct-13	KO	Vehicle Service	Routine	None	No
47	Crocketts Premier Auto Body	900 San Pablo Ave	Pinole	27-Sep-13	KO/JE	Vehicle Service	Routine	None	No
48	Kragen Auto Parts / O'Reilly	1251 TARA HILLS Drive	Pinole	08-Apr-14	KO/JE	Vehicle Service	Routine	None	No
49	Kragen Auto Parts / O'Reilly	1442 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole	08-Apr-14	KO	Vehicle Service	Routine	None	No
50	Metric Motor Sports	517 San Pablo Ave.	Pinole	23-Oct-13	KO	Vehicle Service	Routine	Verbal	No
51	Square Deal Garage	2500 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole	18-Jun-14	JE	Vehicle Service	Routine	None	No
52	Western Contra Costa Transit Authority (WESCAT)	601 WALTER Ave	Pinole	03-Jun-14	KO	Fleet Operations	Routine	None	No
53	Sugar City Building Materials, Inc.	800 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole	28-May-14	KO	Manufacturing	Routine	Verbal	No
New businesses inspected during fiscal year 2013-2014									
Problem Facilities reinspected during fiscal year 2013-2014									
Permit Facilities inspected yearly									
Out of Business									
Problem Facilities from 2012-2013									

**Pinole Clean Water Inspections
Fiscal Year 2013-2014**

Not Inspected

**Annual Report
7/1/2013-6/30/2014**

	Name	Address	City	Inspection Date	Inspector1	Program Category	Inspection Type	Enforcement?
1	Dream's Auto Collision Repair Center	720 SAN PABLO Ave D	Pinole			Body Shop		
2	Pinole Valley Lanes	1580 PINOLE VALLEY Road	Pinole			Commercial		
3	Oasis Nails & Spa	1346 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Commercial		
4	Appian 80 Express	1577 TARA HILLS Drive	Pinole			Dry Cleaner		
5	Bay Cleaners & Laundromat	2580 APPIAN Way	Pinole			Dry Cleaner		
6	Four Mile Cleaners	1441 TARA HILLS Drive	Pinole			Dry Cleaner		
7	Pinole Cleaners	2701 PINOLE VALLEY Road	Pinole			Dry Cleaner		
8	San Pablo Cleaners	610 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole			Dry Cleaner		
9	A La Mode Donuts and Ice Cream	2801 PINOLE VALLEY Road	Pinole			Food Service		
10	Applebee's	1369 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Food Service		
11	Amazing Cuisine	2511 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole			Food Service		
12	Cold Stone Creamery	1460 FITZGERALD Drive 103	Pinole			Food Service		
13	Foster's Freeze	993 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole			Food Service		
14	Green Lantern	1907 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole			Food Service		
15	Haweli Indian Restaurant	2554 APPIAN Way	Pinole			Food Service		
16	Hometown Buffet	1579 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Food Service		
17	Hunan Villa Chinese Restaurant	632 SAN PABLO Ave A	Pinole			Food Service		
18	Ice Cream Mania	1514 FITZGERALD	Pinole			Food Service		
19	In-N-Out Burger	1417 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Food Service		
20	Jack in the Box	2689 PINOLE VALLEY Road	Pinole			Food Service		
21	Kentucky Fried Chicken	1544 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Food Service		
22	New Deli	624 SAN PABLO Ave A	Pinole			Food Service		
23	Noah's Bagels	1552 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Food Service		
24	Ohana Hawaiian BBQ	1554 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Food Service		
25	Outback Steak House	1361 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Food Service		
26	Papa Murphy's Take 'n Bake Pizza	1502 FITZGERALD Drive A	Pinole			Food Service		
27	Pear Street Bistro	2395 San Pablo Ave.	Pinole					
28	Pizza Hut	1211 TARA HILLS Drive	Pinole			Food Service		
29	Rickshaw Chinese Restaurant	1560 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Food Service		
30	Ristorante Due Rose	1596 FITZGERALD	Pinole			Food Service		
31	Round Table Pizza	1409 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Food Service		

32	Sizzler Restaurant	1515 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Food Service		
33	Starbucks Coffee #5260	1540 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Food Service		
34	Subway Sandwiches #28855	1460 FITZGERALD Drive 102	Pinole			Food Service		
35	Subway Sandwiches #25528	2768 Pinole Valley Road	Pinole			Food Service		
36	Tachikawa Japanese Restaurant	632 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole			Food Service		
37	Taqueria Aguillilla	1588 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Food Service		
38	Taqueria Morena	2576 APPIAN Way	Pinole			Food Service		
39	Tina's Place	2300 San Pablo Ave.	Pinole			Food Service		
40	Togo's Eatery	1356 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Food Service		
41	Waffle Shop	2727 Pinole Valley Rd.	Pinole			Food Service		
42	Wendy's Old Fashioned Hamburgers	1581 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Food Service		
43	Yummy Gurt	2701 PINOLE VALLEY Road	Pinole			Food Service		
44	Ken Betts Chevron	2695 PINOLE VALLEY Road	Pinole			Gas Station		
45	Pinole Express	2298 APPIAN Way	Pinole			Gas Station		
46	Smart Stop	1007 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole			Gas Station		
47	Valero	2401 APPIAN Way	Pinole			Gas Station		
48	Vista Shell	1401 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Gas Station		
49	Grocery Outlet	1460 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Grocery Store		
50	Lucky's	1530 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Grocery Store		
51	Pump House	700 TENNENT Ave	Pinole			Grocery Store		
52	Trader Joe's	2742 PINOLE VALLEY Road	Pinole			Grocery Store		
53	Valley Produce Market	1588 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole			Grocery Store		
54	Clemes & Clemes, Inc.	650 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole			Manufacturing		
55	7-Eleven Stores	2869 PINOLE VALLEY Road	Pinole			Mini-Market		
56	Target	1400 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Retail		
57	Walgreens	2750 PINOLE VALLEY Road	Pinole			Retail		
58	99 cent Only Stores	620-G SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole			Retail		
59	Accutech Auto	550 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole			Vehicle Service		
60	Dave's Auto Repair	720 SAN PABLO Ave A	Pinole			Vehicle Service		
61	Goodyear Tire Center	1520 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Vehicle Service		
62	Integrity Muffler	730 SAN PABLO Ave 2	Pinole			Vehicle Service		
63	Jim Dandy Muffler	636 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole			Vehicle Service		
64	Prof SMOG	730 SAN PABLO Ave 3	Pinole			Vehicle Service		
65	Wheel Works	1251 TARA HILLS Drive	Pinole			Vehicle Service		
66	Dolan Lumber	990 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole			Hardware		
67	Orchard Supply Hardware	1440 FITZGERALD Drive	Pinole			Hardware		
68	Western Contra Costa Transit Authority (WESCAT)	601 WALTER Ave	Pinole			Fleet Operations	Routine	
69	Sugar City Building Materials, Inc.	800 SAN PABLO Ave	Pinole			Manufacturing	Routine	

New businesses inspected during fiscal year 2013-2014

Previous Problem Facilities reinspected during fiscal year 2013-2014

Permit Facilities inspected yearly

Out of Business

Attachments for C.9

The Bay-Friendly Landscaping & Gardening Coalition confirms that

Farrin White

has met the requirements to become a

**Bay-Friendly Qualified Landscape
Maintenance Professional**

effective March 1, 2012.



Debi Tidd

Debi Tidd

Bay-Friendly Landscaping & Gardening Coalition